# Gaussian signals exercise – Analytic derivations

#### April 8, 2025

# 1 Analytic posterior

We wish to estimate the unknown mean  $\mu$  and variance  $\sigma^2$  of a Gaussian signal given n independent samples

$$\mathbf{d}_{\mathrm{obs}} = \{ d_{\mathrm{obs},1}, d_{\mathrm{obs},2}, \dots, d_{\mathrm{obs},n} \}.$$

We assume that, conditioned on  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ , the data are independent and identically distributed (i.i.d.) Gaussian random variables. In addition, our prior on  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is taken as a Gaussian-inverse-Gamma,

$$(\mu, \sigma^2) \sim \mathcal{G}\Gamma^{-1}(\eta, \lambda, \alpha, \beta),$$

whose density is

$$\mathcal{G}\Gamma^{-1}(\mu,\sigma^2|\eta,\lambda,\alpha,\beta) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\alpha+1} \exp\left(-\frac{2\beta + \lambda(\mu-\eta)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),$$

with  $\lambda > 0$ ,  $\alpha > 0$ , and  $\beta > 0$ .

Below we detail the solution step by step.

## **1.1** Likelihood $p(\mathbf{d}_{obs}|\mu, \sigma^2)$

Because every observation is drawn independently from the Gaussian

$$\mathcal{G}(d|\mu,\sigma^2) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(d-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right),$$

the joint likelihood is the product of these factors:

$$p(\mathbf{d}_{\rm obs}|\mu,\sigma^2) = \prod_{k=1}^n \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \exp\left(-\frac{(d_{\rm obs,k}-\mu)^2}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$
$$= (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{k=1}^n (d_{\rm obs,k}-\mu)^2\right)$$

Thus, the likelihood is:

$$p(\mathbf{d}_{\text{obs}}|\mu,\sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left[-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{k=1}^n (d_{\text{obs},k}-\mu)^2\right].$$

### 1.2 Conjugacy of the Gaussian–Inverse-Gamma Prior

Step 1: Writing the Joint Density

Our prior on  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  is

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2) = \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{\sqrt{2\pi\sigma^2}} \cdot \frac{\beta^{\alpha}}{\Gamma(\alpha)} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\alpha+1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda(\mu-\eta)^2 + 2\beta}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

The joint posterior is proportional to the product of the likelihood and the prior:

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{d}_{obs}) \propto p(\mathbf{d}_{obs} | \mu, \sigma^2) p(\mu, \sigma^2)$$

$$\propto (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \sum_{k=1}^n (d_{obs,k} - \mu)^2\right) \cdot \frac{1}{\sqrt{\sigma^2}} \left(\frac{1}{\sigma^2}\right)^{\alpha+1} \exp\left(-\frac{\lambda(\mu - \eta)^2 + 2\beta}{2\sigma^2}\right)$$

$$\propto (\sigma^2)^{-(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[\lambda(\mu - \eta)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (d_{obs,k} - \mu)^2 + 2\beta\right]\right\}.$$

Step 2: Completing the Square in  $\mu$ 

To show conjugacy, we must rearrange the exponent so that it appears as a quadratic form in  $\mu$ . We start with

$$\lambda(\mu - \eta)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (d_{\text{obs},k} - \mu)^2.$$

It is convenient to introduce the sample mean:

$$\Phi_{\rm obs}^1 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_{{\rm obs},k}.$$

Also, note the following expansion:

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_{\text{obs},k} - \mu)^2 = \sum_{k=1}^{n} \left[ (d_{\text{obs},k} - \Phi_{\text{obs}}^1) + (\Phi_{\text{obs}}^1 - \mu) \right]^2$$
$$= \sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_{\text{obs},k} - \Phi_{\text{obs}}^1)^2 + n(\Phi_{\text{obs}}^1 - \mu)^2,$$

since the cross term vanishes (by definition of the sample mean). Thus,

$$\lambda(\mu - \eta)^{2} + \sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_{\text{obs},k} - \mu)^{2} = \lambda(\mu - \eta)^{2} + n(\mu - \Phi_{\text{obs}}^{1})^{2} + \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_{\text{obs},k} - \Phi_{\text{obs}}^{1})^{2}}_{\text{term independent of } \mu}$$

We now complete the square with respect to  $\mu$  in

$$\lambda(\mu - \eta)^2 + n(\mu - \Phi_{\rm obs}^1)^2.$$

Write:

$$\lambda(\mu - \eta)^{2} + n(\mu - \Phi_{\text{obs}}^{1})^{2} = (\lambda + n)(\mu - \eta')^{2} + C,$$

where

$$\eta' = \frac{\lambda \eta + n \Phi_{\rm obs}^1}{\lambda + n}$$

and the constant C (which does not depend on  $\mu$ ) is

$$C = \frac{\lambda n}{\lambda + n} (\Phi_{\rm obs}^1 - \eta)^2.$$

Thus, the exponent in the posterior becomes

$$-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left\{ (\lambda+n)(\mu-\eta')^2 + \frac{\lambda n}{\lambda+n} (\Phi_{\rm obs}^1-\eta)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (d_{{\rm obs},k} - \Phi_{{\rm obs}}^1)^2 + 2\beta \right\}.$$

Step 3: Identifying the Updated Parameters

Now, grouping the terms, the joint posterior may be written (ignoring multiplicative factors not involving  $\mu$  and  $\sigma^2$ ) as

$$p(\mu, \sigma^2 | \mathbf{d}_{\text{obs}}) \propto (\sigma^2)^{-(\alpha + \frac{n}{2} + 1)} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2} \left[(\lambda + n)(\mu - \eta')^2 + 2\beta'\right]\right),$$

where we define

$$2\beta' = 2\beta + \frac{\lambda n}{\lambda + n} (\Phi_{\text{obs}}^1 - \eta)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^n (d_{\text{obs},k} - \Phi_{\text{obs}}^1)^2.$$

It is customary to write the sum of squares in terms of the unbiased sample variance estimator. Notice that

$$\Phi_{\rm obs}^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^n (d_{{\rm obs},k} - \Phi_{{\rm obs}}^1)^2,$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_{\text{obs},k} - \Phi_{\text{obs}}^{1})^{2} = (n-1)\Phi_{\text{obs}}^{2}$$

Thus, we identify the updated parameters of the Gaussian–inverse-Gamma posterior:

$$\begin{split} \eta' &= \frac{\lambda \eta + n \Phi_{\text{obs}}^{1}}{\lambda + n}, \\ \lambda' &= \lambda + n, \\ \alpha' &= \alpha + \frac{n}{2}, \\ \beta' &= \beta + \frac{n\lambda}{2(\lambda + n)} (\Phi_{\text{obs}}^{1} - \eta)^{2} + \frac{n - 1}{2} \Phi_{\text{obs}}^{2}. \end{split}$$

These updated parameters confirm that the posterior is also a Gaussian-inverse-Gamma distribution with parameters  $(\eta', \lambda', \alpha', \beta')$ .

Below is a detailed solution to the two questions.

### 2 Data compression

#### 2.1 Sufficiency of the Statistics

Using the Neyman–Fisher Factorization Theorem

The factorization theorem states that a statistic  $\Phi(\mathbf{d})$  is sufficient for parameters  $(\mu, \sigma^2)$  if we can write the likelihood  $p(\mathbf{d}|\mu, \sigma^2)$  in the form

$$p(\mathbf{d}|\mu,\sigma^2) = g\left(\mathbf{\Phi}(\mathbf{d}),\mu,\sigma^2\right) h(\mathbf{d}),$$

where the function  $h(\mathbf{d})$  does not depend on the parameters.

Step-by-Step Factorization

For n independent samples from  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$ , the likelihood is

$$p(\mathbf{d}|\mu,\sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-\frac{n}{2}} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{k=1}^n (d_k-\mu)^2\right\}.$$

A standard algebraic manipulation uses the identity

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_k - \mu)^2 = \underbrace{\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_k - \Phi^1)^2}_{\text{data dispersion}} + n \left( \Phi^1 - \mu \right)^2.$$

Thus we can rewrite the likelihood as:

$$p(\mathbf{d}|\mu,\sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\Phi^1-\mu)^2\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}\sum_{k=1}^n (d_k-\Phi^1)^2\right\}.$$

Notice here that: - The first exponential factor involves only the parameters  $\mu, \sigma^2$  and the empirical mean  $\Phi^1(\mathbf{d})$ . - The second exponential depends on the data only through  $\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_k - \Phi^1)^2$ , which is exactly linked (by a multiplicative constant) to the sample variance  $\Phi^2(\mathbf{d})$ .

In more detail, since

$$\Phi^{2}(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_{k} - \Phi^{1})^{2},$$

we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_k - \Phi^1)^2 = (n-1) \, \Phi^2(\mathbf{d}).$$

Hence, the likelihood can be written as:

$$p(\mathbf{d}|\mu,\sigma^2) = (2\pi\sigma^2)^{-n/2} \exp\left\{-\frac{n}{2\sigma^2}(\Phi^1 - \mu)^2\right\} \exp\left\{-\frac{(n-1)\Phi^2}{2\sigma^2}\right\},\,$$

which now clearly factors into a function  $g(\Phi^1(\mathbf{d}), \Phi^2(\mathbf{d}), \mu, \sigma^2)$  that depends on the parameters and the summary statistics and a function  $h(\mathbf{d})$  (in this case, merely a constant with respect to  $\mu, \sigma^2$ ). Thus, by the factorization theorem,  $(\Phi^1, \Phi^2)$  is a sufficient statistic.

#### **2.2** Distributions of $\Phi^1(\mathbf{d})$ and $\Phi^2(\mathbf{d})$

We now derive the closed forms for the distributions of the empirical mean and variance.

1. Distribution of  $\Phi^1$ 

Given that each  $d_k$  is independently distributed as  $\mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  and using the standard result about sums of independent Gaussians, the sample mean

$$\Phi^1(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{k=1}^n d_k$$

follows a Gaussian distribution with mean  $\mu$  and variance

$$\operatorname{Var}(\Phi^1) = \frac{\sigma^2}{n} \,.$$

That is,

$$\Phi^1 \sim \mathcal{G}\left(\mu, \frac{\sigma^2}{n}\right).$$

2. Distribution of  $\Phi^2$ 

Recall that the sample variance is given by

$$\Phi^{2}(\mathbf{d}) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{k=1}^{n} (d_{k} - \Phi^{1})^{2}.$$

A classical result in statistics is that if  $d_k \sim \mathcal{N}(\mu, \sigma^2)$  independently, then

$$\frac{(n-1)\,\Phi^2}{\sigma^2} \sim \chi_{n-1}^2\,,$$

i.e. it is chi-squared distributed with n-1 degrees of freedom. A chi-squared distribution with k degrees of freedom is a special case of the Gamma distribution:

$$\chi_k^2 \sim \Gamma\left(\frac{k}{2}, 2\right),$$

where the Gamma pdf is parametrised by a shape parameter (here, k/2) and a scale parameter (here, 2).

It then follows by a change of variable that

$$\Phi^2 \sim \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{2\sigma^2}{n-1}\right).$$

That is, the pdf of  $\Phi^2$  is given by

$$p(\Phi^2) = \frac{1}{\Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}\right)} \left(\frac{n-1}{2\sigma^2}\right)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} (\Phi^2)^{\frac{n-1}{2}-1} \exp\left(-\frac{(n-1)\Phi^2}{2\sigma^2}\right).$$

Thus,

$$\Phi^2 \sim \Gamma\left(\frac{n-1}{2}, \frac{2\sigma^2}{n-1}\right).$$